National Archives and Records Administration



8601 Adelphi Road College Park, Maryland 20740-6001

Date : September 4, 2008

Reply to

Attn of : Office of Inspector General (OIG)

subject : Management Letter No. 08-14, Work-at-Home System Project

 To : Martha Morphy, Assistant Archivist for Information Services (NH)

The purpose of this management letter is to inform you that the strategy employed in the development of the Work-at-Home System (WAHS) exposes NARA to security vulnerabilities that could result in the compromise of the agency's computer network.

We are currently reviewing project documentation for the Work-at-Home System (WAHS), the objective of which is to enhance NARA's remote access capabilities while satisfying an Office of Management and Budget (OMB) mandate. The WAHS, which consists of several commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) software packages, will implement an Information Technology (IT) infrastructure system that will enable secure, remote access to selected General Service Systems (GSS) that reside on NARANet to include: GroupWise e-mail access, file access to shared and personal drives, access to NARA@Work content, access to Microsoft Office 2003 applications, and access to the Internet. System capabilities include the need to (1) support the Work-at-Home initiative as part of the agency's Comprehensive Emergency Management (CEMP) and Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) activities, and (2) implement two-factor authentication¹ as mandated by the OMB Memorandum 06-16, *Protection of Sensitive Agency Information*.

System requirements documentation states that "The system *shall* exchange all data between remote users and NARANET using an encrypted link." However, we noted that system implementation plans call for utilizing a strategy that introduces a security vulnerability into NARANEt, the agency's

computer network.	Redacted pursuant to FOIA Exemptions b(2) and b(5)
	2 3
	4

Redacted pursuant to FOIA Exemption b(2)
Redacted pursuant to FOIA Exemption b(2)

1

NARA's web site is http://www.archives.gov

--- Redacted pursuant to FOIA Exemptions b(2) and b(5)--Redacted pursuant to FOIA Exemptions b(2) and b(5)-Redacted pursuant to FOIA Exemptions b(2) and b(5)-Redacted pursuant to FOIA Exemptions b(2) and b(5)-Redacted pursuant to FOIA Exemption b(2)---- Redacted pursuant to FOIA Exemption b(2) Redacted pursuant to FOIA Exemption b(2)-

2 NARA's web site is http://www.archives.gov

Paul Brachfeld Inspector General

cc: N (A. Weinstein)

National Archives and Records Administration



Date:

8601 Adelphi Road College Park, Maryland 20740-6001

SEP 17 2008

To: Office of Inspector General (OIG)

From: Office of Information Services (NH)

Subject: Management Letter No. 08-14, Work-at-Home Project

In response to your Management Letter, we are writing to clarify possible misunderstandings of the Work-at-Home project (WAHS) technical implementation.

Overall, the Management Letter indicated that the use of LDAP presented security vulnerabilities to NARANet. With security controls in place, we believe the risk estimation was overly stated. Most important, the Management Letter suggestion that the project be delayed has been overtaken by events. The initial test phase has now been completed and the project is moving ahead with secured LDAP (LDAPS) implementation.

We would like to clarify several of the technical statements made in the Management Letter.

1. The Management Letter stated: "...However, we noted that system implementation plans call for utilizing a strategy that introduces a security vulnerability into NARANet, the agency's computer network. "

The		[emphasis ours] ct encrypted.
· · · · · ·	6(2)	
·		
		element was fully accepted

system security plan, risk assessment and POA&M for the system.

3. The test accounts involved were "dummy" accounts with predefined access privileges to the test system. This is a normal procedure for any system test activity. Additionally, b(2)—, and if a third party gained knowledge of or possession of the test user IDs and passwords, the same third party will not be able to gain access to the system because — b(2)—

4. The risk of	6(2)	7 and thereby gaining access
to network services is mitigated by	the nature of the system-	<u> </u>

 $\frac{b(\lambda)}{b(\lambda)}$ With these characteristics, it is nearly impossible to discover and break the $b(\lambda)$. This risk is a residual risk for any system that utilizes a $b(\lambda)$. This risk is a residual risk for and is strongly mitigated by the physical access controls, management controls, and technical controls that are in-place for $b(\lambda)$. If you have any questions about our response or wish to discuss the project further, please

contact $-\frac{b}{b}$ or $\frac{b}{b}$ can be reached at 301-837 — or email at <u>(@nara.gov</u>, and <u>can be reached at 301-837</u> or email at <u>(@nara.gov</u>.

Maphi MARTHA MORPHY

Assistant Archivist for Information Services